OGC HAS REVIEWED.

25X1A6a

There is on file with the Agency, my claim for reimbursement in the amount of \$561.36 which I paid for shipment of my personally camed automobile to the **Mandage of the Control of the State of the Sta**

While I have had no efficial notification, I understand the claim was not allowed on the grounds that (1) the shipment was made prior to specific authorization and (2) it was made during a time when my contract status with the Agency had no such reimbursement prevision.

The two facts stated are correct. But they have not the slightest bearing on the point at issue, which was acquiring a personally comed automobile for my use subsequent to my entering on full status with the Agency; this quite obviously was the intention of the instructions authorising reimbursement for its shipment.

25X1A

25X1A6a 25X1A6a The additional facts not stated, and apparently not considered are that I was fully authorised automobile them in the when when returned me to the U.S. and could then have acquired a new one in the U.S. and had it shipped to the statement at Agency expense. (It is quite true, and possibly pertinent that such a transaction at that time, would have provided considerable financial prefit to myself.) I, however, considered that this conscivably might jeopardise continued residence and service there. For that reason, I decided to retain the automobile I had. It was used as intended in the instructions and, additionally was used extensively on Agency business, during the subsequent two years of my service there.

25X1A

25X1C4e

The automobile in question fully met the requirement for which its transportation was authorized as a charge against the Agency. For that reason, I request reconsideration of any decision which has been made to disallow my claim; and I request that I be notified in the premises:

25X1A9a

11 April 1956